

Capital Construction Budget Recommendations and Prioritization 2025-2027 Biennium

*for the Nebraska State College System, University of Nebraska,
and Nebraska College of Technical Agriculture*

Approved by the Commission
October 11, 2024

Coordinating Commission for Postsecondary Education

140 North 8th Street, Suite 300

P. O. Box 95005

Lincoln, Nebraska 68509-5005

Telephone: 402.471.2847

ccpe.nebraska.gov

Commissioners

Chair - Dr. Paul Von Behren (*Fremont*)

Vice Chair - Tim Daniels (*Omaha*)

Dr. Deborah A. Frison (*Omaha*)

Mary Lauritzen (*West Point*)

Tamara Weber (*Columbus*)

Dr. LeDonna Griffin (*Omaha*)

Dannika Nelson (*Oakland*)

William "Scott" Wilson (*Plattsmouth*)

Dr. Dennis Headrick (*Lincoln*)

Molly O'Holleran (*North Platte*)

Dr. Michael Baumgartner, Executive Director

This report and all other reports are available online at ccpe.nebraska.gov/reports.

The Coordinating Commission for Postsecondary Education does not discriminate on the basis of race, color, national origin, sex, religion, age or disability in the employment or the provision of services.

Table of Contents



Executive Summary	Page iii
Section I - Existing Capital Construction Funding Commitments	Page I – 1
Section II - Governing Boards' Capital Construction Budget Requests 2021-2023 Biennium	Page II – 1
Section III - Commission's Capital Construction Budget Recommendations 2021-2023 Biennium.....	Page III – 1
Section IV - Commission's Prioritization of Approved Capital Construction Budget Requests	Page IV – 1
Priority # 1 – UNMC Project Health	Page IV – 6
Priority # 2 – CSC-PSC-WSC M&R Pool.....	Page IV – 8
Priority # 3 – WSC Rice & Gardner IAC-ARC (Shortfall)	Page IV–10
Priority # 4 – PSC Indoor Recreation Complex (Shortfall).....	Page IV–12
Priority # 5 – UNO Peter Kiewit Institute	Page IV–14
Priority # 6 (tie) – UNMC College of Allied Health	Page IV–16
Priority # 6 (tie) – WSC Brandenburg Renovation & Addition.....	Page IV–18
Priority # 8 – PSC A.V. Larson Renovation & Addition.....	Page IV–20
Priority # 9 – WSC Humanities HVAC/Codes/Remodel	Page IV–22
Priority #10 (tie) – CSC New Music Building (Memorial-Ph. I).....	Page IV–24

Table of Contents



Priority #10 (tie) – CSC Hildreth Hall DemolitionPage IV–26

Priority #12 – WSC Memorial Stadium Upgrades.....Page IV–28

Priority #13 – PSC Hoyt Science Windows/HVACPage IV–30

Priority #14 – Oak Bowl Upgrades.....Page IV–32

Executive Summary



The Coordinating Commission for Postsecondary Education provides funding and priority recommendations for Nebraska State College, University of Nebraska, and Nebraska College of Technical Agriculture at Curtis (NCTA) capital construction budget requests, as outlined in Nebraska's Constitution and Statutes. The overarching principle used in this process is to provide safe, functional, well-utilized, and well-maintained facilities that support institutional efforts to provide exemplary programs.

The Commission recommends continued reaffirmation funding of all partially funded capital construction projects as outlined in Section I.

Section II outlines the State College, University, and NCTA capital construction budget requests as submitted by their respective governing board. These requests include Task Force for Building Renewal requests and individual capital construction budget requests from the State Colleges and University.

Funding recommendations are provided in Section III of the report.

The Commission prioritized one sector initiative, thirteen individual capital construction projects, and LB309 funding requests for the 2025-2027 biennium as outlined in Section IV. The Commission's prioritized list is aimed at identifying from a statewide perspective the most urgent capital construction needs for the coming biennium. The prioritization is designed to assist the Governor and Legislature in developing a strategy to address the most

critical institutional facility needs from a statewide perspective.

Prioritization of individual capital construction budget requests is based on 10 weighted criteria. The percentage resulting from these criteria's cumulative point total establishes the recommended statewide funding order of capital requests. In developing the prioritization process, a primary goal of the Commission is to protect building occupants, complete partially funded projects, and prevent further deterioration of the State's existing physical assets.

Executive Summary



Section I - Existing Commitments

Section I - Existing Commitments



The Nebraska State Colleges, University of Nebraska, and Nebraska College of Technical Agriculture have seven reaffirmation-funding requests for the 2025-2027 biennium. Previous Legislative appropriations and other fund sources partially funded these requests with additional funding necessary to continue and/or complete financing.

The Nebraska State College System and University of Nebraska have each included reaffirmation requests for the LB 605/LB 957/LB384 renovation/replacement/repair initiative that involved multiple projects financed with long-term bonds. The State College and University Facilities Programs were extended by LB384 (2021) to 2040 and 2062, respectively. State appropriations of \$1.125 million and \$13.5 million per year are needed to continue long-term financing for these State College and University Facilities Programs. Student facility fees and tuition of up to \$1.44 million and \$13.5 million per year from the State Colleges and University respectively will be used to match state appropriations in financing long-term bonds. Bond proceeds are used for deferred repair, renovation, and replacement projects as identified in statute.

The Nebraska State College System is requesting reaffirmation of revenue generated from the State College

Capital Improvement Fee Fund per Nebraska Revised Statute § 85-328. Amounts accumulated in the fund are authorized to be expended for the purpose of paying the cost of capital improvement projects approved by the Board of Trustees.

The Nebraska State College System is also requesting reaffirmation of \$300,000 in transfers from the Civic and Community Center Financing Fund to the State Colleges Sport Facilities Cash Fund each year beginning October 1, 2015. A portion of select sales tax purchases go into this fund, of which the Nebraska State College System receives a portion to support athletic facilities.

Chadron State College and Wayne State College completed bond financing in FY 2021 for Rangeland II and U.S. Conn Library projects. LB 384 (2021) included intent language to continue these appropriations for bond financing of the CSC Math-Science Building renovation and addition through FY 2035.

The University of Nebraska requested reaffirmation funding to finish bond financing of previously approved capital construction projects for University of Nebraska-Lincoln, University of Nebraska Medical Center, and Nebraska College of Technical Agriculture projects. Intent

Section I - Existing Commitments

language included in LB 384 (2021) continues these State appropriations through FY 2062 for purposes of renewal, renovation, or repair of University facilities.

Reaffirmation requests for the 2025-2027 biennium totaling \$108,947,856 require a reaffirmation vote of the Legislature and approval of the Governor before State appropriations can be allocated. The source of funding for these projects includes State appropriations, matching student tuition and fees, revolving funds, and sales tax transfers.

Existing statutes also designate seven cents of the 64 cents per pack cigarette tax annually to the Building Renewal Allocation Fund for use by the Task Force for Building Renewal, with the stipulation that appropriations will not be less than the FY 1998 appropriation (\$9,163,000/year) per Nebraska Revised Statutes, § 77-2602(3)(c). The Building Renewal Allocation Fund currently receives the minimum \$9,163,000 appropriation, as seven cents per pack of the cigarette tax currently generates less than \$9,163,000.

The table on the following page lists the seven ongoing capital construction commitments for public postsecondary education.

Section I - Existing Commitments



Capital Construction Reaffirmation Requests 2025-2027 Biennium for the Nebraska State College System, University of Nebraska, & Nebraska College of Technical Agriculture

Institution	Project Title	Leg. Bill Number & Year	Total Project Costs	Prior/Current Approp.		Request Biennium		Future Additional Reaffirmations
				Prior Expenditures	FY 2025 Appr./Reappr.	FY 2026 Reaffirmation	FY 2027 Reaffirmation	
Nebraska State College System								
CSC/WSC	CSC Rangeland II & Math-Sci./WSC Conn Libr.	LB814 (2023)*	\$48,752,000	\$24,376,000	\$2,216,000	\$2,216,000	\$2,216,000	\$17,728,000
St. Colleges	Systemwide - State College Facilities Program	LB814 (2023)**	\$92,920,000	\$40,800,000	\$2,565,000	\$2,565,000	\$2,565,000	\$44,425,000
St. Colleges	Systemwide - Fac. Fee Fund Projects	LB814 (2023)	\$25,627,122	\$13,567,122	\$900,000	\$930,000	\$930,000	\$9,300,000
St. Colleges	Systemwide - Sport Facilities Fund Projects	LB814 (2023)	\$7,100,000	\$3,200,000	\$300,000	\$300,000	\$300,000	\$3,000,000
<i>Subtotal - Nebraska State College System</i>			\$174,399,122	\$81,943,122	\$5,981,000	\$6,011,000	\$6,011,000	\$74,453,000
University of Nebraska								
UNL	Ag Innovation Facility	LB1011 (2022)*	\$50,000,000	\$0	\$25,000,000	\$25,000,000	\$0	\$0
University	Systemwide - NU Facilities Renewal	LB383 (2021)*	\$115,216,128	\$0	\$3,642,928	\$4,462,928	\$4,462,928	\$102,647,344
University	Systemwide - University Facilities Program	LB383 (2021)**	\$1,250,555,136	\$0	\$31,500,000	\$31,500,000	\$31,500,000	\$1,156,055,136
<i>Subtotal - University of Nebraska</i>			\$1,415,771,264	\$0	\$60,142,928	\$60,962,928	\$35,962,928	\$1,258,702,480
Total - Nebr. State College Sys. / Univ. of Nebr. / NCTA			\$1,590,170,386	\$81,943,122	\$66,123,928	\$66,973,928	\$41,973,928	\$1,333,155,480
Means of Financing								
State Building Fund (General Fund)			\$779,853,264	\$44,626,000	\$20,483,928	\$21,303,928	\$21,303,928	\$672,135,480
Nebraska Capital Construction Fund (Cash Reserve Fund transfers, etc.)			\$50,000,000	\$0	\$25,000,000	\$25,000,000	\$0	\$0
Cash Funds			\$691,100,000	\$3,200,000	\$18,300,000	\$18,300,000	\$18,300,000	\$633,000,000
Revolving Funds (includes tuition & fees)			\$69,217,122	\$34,117,122	\$2,340,000	\$2,370,000	\$2,370,000	\$28,020,000
Total - Nebr. State College Sys. / Univ. of Nebr. / NCTA			\$1,590,170,386	\$81,943,122	\$66,123,928	\$66,973,928	\$41,973,928	\$1,333,155,480

*LB384 (2021) affirmed intent language from LB297 (2019) to extend State appropriations for additional long-term financing of State College and University renovation and renewal projects once existing project financing is completed. Beginning in FY 2022 through FY 2040, \$2.216 million per year would be appropriated for the CSC Math-Science Building renovation/addition and then the State College Facilities Program after financing is completed on the CSC Rangeland II building and WSC U.S. Conn Library Renovation. State appropriations to the University would be \$2,165,928, \$1,477,000, and \$820,000 per year through FY 2050 once financing is completed on the UNL Veterinary Diagnostics Center, UNMC College of Nursing-Lincoln Division, and NCTA Education Center (in FY 2021, FY 2023, and FY 2025) respectively for renewal, renovations, or repair of existing University facilities. LB384 also extends appropriation of the total annual funding originally designated for the three projects (\$4,462,928) to the University of Nebraska Facilities Program through FY 2062.

**The State College Facilities Program and the University of Nebraska Facilities Program were extended in 2021 per LB384. The State Colleges will receive appropriations of \$1.125 million per year through FY 2040 to be matched by student facility fees and tuition of up to \$1.44 million per year. The University will receive appropriations of \$13.5 million per year through FY 2062 to be matched by student facility fees and tuition of up to \$13.5 million per year.

Section I - Existing Commitments



*Section II -
Governing Board Requests*

Section II - Governing Board Requests



This section outlines the Nebraska State College System, the University of Nebraska, and the Nebraska College of Technical Agriculture capital construction budget requests for the 2025-2027 biennium. The tables included in this section can be used to compare institutional capital construction budget requests with the Commission's recommendations and priorities that follow in Sections III and IV of this document.

Summary of Capital Construction Requests

Capital construction budget requests prepared by the Nebraska State College System's Board of Trustees and the University of Nebraska's Board of Regents address specific facility needs for each of their institutions.

The State Colleges have requested funding for 15 capital construction projects in the 2025-2027 biennium. The State Colleges are also seeking funding for Building Renewal Task Force requests for the coming biennium. See page II-3 for the Nebraska State College System's capital construction budget request, in priority order, as approved and submitted by the Board of Trustees.

The University has requested funding for three capital construction projects in the 2025-2027 biennium. The

University has also identified Building Renewal Task Force requests for the coming biennium. See page II-5 for the University of Nebraska's capital construction budget request, in priority order, as submitted by the Board of Regents.

The Nebraska College of Technical Agriculture at Curtis has not requested funding for new construction, renovation or planning projects for the 2025-2027 biennium. NCTA has identified Building Renewal Task Force requests for the coming biennium. See page II-7 for the Nebraska College of Technical Agriculture's capital construction budget request, in priority order, as submitted by the Board of Regents.

Section II - Governing Board Requests



Nebraska State College System

The table on the following page provides the Nebraska State College System's Capital Construction Budget Request for the 2025-2027 biennium in the priority order recommended by the Nebraska State College System's Board of Trustees, including the State Colleges' Building Renewal Task Force requests.

The list below identifies Capital Construction Projects which are requesting funding from both State General Funds and LB 309 Task Force Building Renewal Allocation Funds.

- WSC Rice & Gardner IAC -ARC (Shortfall)
- WSC Brandenburg Renovation & Add.
- PSC A.V. Larson Renovation & Addition
- PSC Oak Bowl Stadium Upgrades
- WSC Humanities HVAC/Codes/Remodel
- PSC Hoyt Science Windows/HVAC
- CSC Nelson PAC Renovation & Addition
- PSC Al Wheeler Activities Center Renovation
- CSC Memorial Hall Renovation (Ph. II)
- CSC Reta King Library HVAC & Codes

Section II - Governing Board Requests



Capital Construction Request Summary for the Nebraska State College System 2025-2027 Biennium							
Capital Construction Projects	Governing Bd. Priority	Total Request	Prior Expenditure	FY 2025 App/Reap	FY 2026 Request	FY 2027 Request	Future Request
CSC-PSC-WSC M&R Pool	1	\$95,000,000	\$0	\$0	\$5,000,000	\$10,000,000	\$80,000,000
WSC Rice & Gardner IAC -ARC (Shortfall)	2	\$5,714,787	\$0	\$0	\$5,714,787	\$0	\$0
PSC Indoor Recreation Complex (Shortfall)	3	\$8,852,650	\$0	\$0	\$8,852,650	\$0	\$0
WSC Brandenburg Renovation & Add.	4	\$18,700,000	\$0	\$0	\$3,250,000	\$13,140,000	\$2,310,000
PSC A.V. Larson Renovation & Addition	5	\$18,968,968	\$0	\$0	\$1,000,000	\$10,468,968	\$7,500,000
CSC New Music Building (Memorial-Ph. I)	6	\$23,335,843	\$0	\$0	\$4,400,000	\$17,735,843	\$1,200,000
CSC Hildreth Hall Demolition	7	\$1,200,000	\$0	\$0	\$1,200,000	\$0	\$0
WSC Memorial Stadium Upgrades	8	\$6,873,573	\$0	\$0	\$6,873,573	\$0	\$0
PSC Oak Bowl Stadium Upgrades	9	\$1,897,950	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$1,897,950	\$0
WSC Humanities HVAC/Codes/Remodel	10	\$13,132,000	\$0	\$0	\$2,500,000	\$9,932,000	\$700,000
PSC Hoyt Science Windows/HVAC	11	\$6,725,250	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$6,725,250	\$0
CSC Nelson PAC Renovation & Addition	16	\$16,547,000	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$16,547,000
PSC Al Wheeler Activities Center Renovation	17	\$11,049,500	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$11,049,500
CSC Memorial Hall Renovation (Ph. II)	18	\$31,938,300	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$31,938,300
CSC Reta King Library HVAC & Codes	19	\$9,432,500	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$9,432,500
TOTAL		\$269,368,321	\$0	\$0	\$38,791,010	\$69,900,011	\$160,677,300
Building Renewal LB309 TF Projects (Priority 12-15)		Total Request	Prior Expenditure	FY 2025 App/Reap	FY 2026 Request	FY 2027 Request	Future Request
CSC LB309 Request		\$41,835,324	\$0	\$0	\$41,835,324	\$0	\$0
PSC LB309 Request		\$9,180,210	\$0	\$0	\$9,180,210	\$0	\$0
WSC LB309 Request		\$19,052,728	\$0	\$0	\$19,052,728	\$0	\$0
TOTAL		\$70,068,262	\$0	\$0	\$70,068,262	\$0	\$0
FUND SOURCE	Total Request	Prior Expenditure	FY 2025 App/Reap	FY 2026 Request	FY 2027 Request	Future Request	
State Gen. Fund/NCCF/Cig. Tax	\$269,368,321	\$0	\$0	\$38,791,010	\$69,900,011	\$160,677,300	
Cash Fund (Tuition & Fees)	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	
Federal Funds	\$500,000	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$500,000	
Revolving Funds	\$900,000	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$900,000	
Private Donations	\$5,000,000	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$5,000,000	
LB309 Task Force Funding Request per Building Renewal Request Report				\$70,068,262	\$0	\$0	
TOTAL	\$339,436,583	\$0	\$0	\$108,859,272	\$69,900,011	\$160,677,300	

Section II - Governing Board Requests



University of Nebraska

The table on the following page provides the University of Nebraska's Capital Construction Budget Request for the 2025-2027 biennium in the priority order recommended by the University of Nebraska's Board of Regents, including the University's Building Renewal Task Force requests for the biennium.

Section II - Governing Board Requests



Capital Construction Request Summary for the University of Nebraska 2025-2027 Biennium

CAPITAL CONSTRUCTION PROJECTS	Governing Bd. Priority	Total Request	Prior Expenditure	FY 2025 App/Reap	FY 2026 Request	FY 2027 Request	Future Request
Project Health, UNMC Campus, Omaha	1	\$300,000,000	\$0	\$0	\$50,000,000	\$50,000,000	\$200,000,000
Peter Kiewit Institute, UNO	2	\$27,000,000	\$0	\$0	\$2,500,000	\$2,500,000	\$22,000,000
College of Allied Health, UNMC Campus, Omaha	3	\$20,000,000	\$0	\$0	\$2,500,000	\$2,500,000	\$15,000,000
TOTAL		\$347,000,000	\$0	\$0	\$55,000,000	\$55,000,000	\$237,000,000
Building Renewal LB309 TF Projects (Priority 4-7)		Total Request	Prior Expenditure	FY 2025 App/Reap	FY 2026 Request	FY 2027 Request	Future Request
UNK		\$21,200,000			\$14,050,000	\$7,150,000	\$0
UNL / IANR		\$29,383,500			\$29,240,500	\$143,000	\$0
UNMC		\$129,687,008			\$54,952,069	\$74,734,939	\$0
UNO		\$20,627,500			\$3,677,500	\$16,950,000	
TOTAL*		\$200,898,008			\$101,920,069	\$98,977,939	\$0
FUND SOURCE		Total Request	Prior Expenditure	FY 2025 App/Reap	FY 2026 Request	FY 2027 Request	Future Request
State Gen. Fund/NCCF/Cig. Tax		\$355,365,500	\$0	\$0	\$58,545,500	\$59,820,000	\$237,000,000
Cash Fund (Tuition & Fees)		\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0
Federal Funds		\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0
Revolving Funds		\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0
Private Donations		\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0
LB309 TF Funding Request per Building Renewal Request Report*		\$192,532,508	\$0	\$0	\$98,374,569	\$94,157,939	\$0
TOTAL		\$547,898,008	\$0	\$0	\$156,920,069	\$153,977,939	\$237,000,000

* This Total excludes the NCTA TF309 Request.

Section II - Governing Board Requests



Nebraska College of Technical Agriculture

The table on the following page provides the Nebraska College of Technical Agriculture's (NCTA) Capital Construction Budget Request for the 2025-2027 biennium in the priority order recommended by the University of Nebraska's Board of Regents. NCTA has identified Building Renewal Task Force requests for the coming biennium.

Section II - Governing Board Requests



Capital Construction Request Summary for the Nebraska College of Technical Agriculture 2025-2027 Biennium							
Building Renewal LB309 TF Projects	Governing Bd. Priority	Total Request	Prior Expenditure	FY 2025 App/Reap	FY 2026 Request	FY 2027 Request	Future Request
Fire/Life Safety	4	\$600,000	\$0	\$0	\$100,000	\$500,000	\$0
ADA	5	\$250,000	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$250,000	\$0
Deferred Repair	6	\$12,575,000	\$0	\$0	\$2,575,000	\$10,000,000	\$0
Energy Conservation	7	\$250,000	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$250,000	\$0
TOTAL		\$13,675,000	\$0	\$0	\$2,675,000	\$11,000,000	\$0
FUND SOURCE		Total Request	Prior Expenditure	FY 2025 App/Reap	FY 2026 Request	FY 2027 Request	Future Request
State Gen. Fund/NCCF/Cig. Tax		\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0
Cash Fund (Tuition & Fees)		\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0
Federal Funds		\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0
Revolving Funds		\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0
Private Donations		\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0
LB309 TF Funding Request per Building Renewal Request Report		\$13,675,000	\$0	\$0	\$2,675,000	\$11,000,000	\$0
SUBTOTAL		\$13,675,000	\$0	\$0	\$2,675,000	\$11,000,000	\$0

Task Force for Building Renewal Requests

Included in these governing board capital construction budget requests are requests for LB 309 Task Force Funding. Since its creation in 1977, the LB 309 Task Force for Building Renewal's duties involve reviewing requests and allocating funds to address the most urgent deferred repair and energy conservation needs of State-supported buildings. In the spring of 1993, statutory revisions expanded the LB 309 Task Force's duties to include the review and allocation of funds for fire & life safety and accessibility projects. Buildings not owned by the State (including revenue bond buildings and buildings being purchased through lease-purchase) are ineligible for funding.

Requests have been submitted totaling \$284.6 million, which includes institutional cooperative funding of \$8.36 million.

The LB 309 Task Force has historically requested that agencies provide cooperative funds for each project allocation. However, the LB 309 Task Force has informed agencies that cooperative funding is not required for the 2025-2027 biennium, though it is highly encouraged.

Agencies may offer matching funds whenever it is in their best interest to do so.

The cooperative funding policy is intended to provide an institutional investment in a project and allows more projects to be completed with available funds. The Nebraska State College System has historically provided 15% in cooperative funds. The University of Nebraska and NCTA have historically provided 20% cooperative funds.

The Building Renewal Allocation Fund currently receives approximately \$9.1 million per year. These funds are used across all State agencies.

*Section III - Commission's
Statewide Capital Construction
Budget Recommendations*

Section III - Commission Recommendations



The table at the end of this section lists all capital construction requests from the Nebraska State College System, the University of Nebraska, and the Nebraska College of Technical Agriculture (NCTA). The Commission's funding recommendation for individual capital construction budget requests are included in this table. Capital construction budget requests are shown in alphabetical order. A prioritized list of recommendations for funding Commission-approved capital construction budget requests is provided in Section IV.

Commission review and approval is required of statutorily defined "capital construction projects" before State tax funds may be expended. This includes projects that utilize more than \$2,000,000 in State tax funds for purposes of new construction, additions, remodeling, or acquisition of a capital structure by gift, purchase, lease-purchase or other means of construction or acquisition.

In addition to requesting funds for individual capital construction projects, institutions have requested funding from the Building Renewal Allocation Fund as administered by the LB 309 Task Force for Building Renewal. The total combined recommendation is included in the table at the end of this section.

Finally, the table includes reaffirmation requests that received partial funding in prior biennia. The Commission is recommending funding each of the reaffirmation requests as requested by the institutions.

The following table summarizes institutional capital construction requests for State appropriations and the Commission's recommended funding for the 2025-2027 biennium:

	2025-2027 Biennium
Project Name	Institution's Funding Request
Reaffirmation Requests	\$108,947,856
Building Renewal Requests (w/o coop \$)	\$276,275,770
CSC-PSC-WSC M&R Pool	\$15,000,000
WSC Rice & Gardner IAC -ARC (Shortfall)	\$5,714,787
PSC Indoor Recreation Complex (Shortfall)	\$8,852,650
WSC Brandenburg Renovation & Add.	\$16,390,000
PSC A.V. Larson Renovation & Addition	\$11,468,968
CSC New Music Building (Memorial-Ph. I)	\$22,135,843
CSC Hildreth Hall Demolition	\$1,200,000
WSC Memorial Stadium Upgrades	\$6,873,573
PSC Oak Bowl Stadium Upgrades	\$1,897,950
WSC Humanities HVAC/Codes/Remodel	\$12,432,000
PSC Hoyt Science Windows/HVAC	\$6,725,250

Section III - Commission Recommendations



CSC Nelson PAC Renovation & Addition	\$0
PSC Al Wheeler Activities Center Renovation	\$0
CSC Memorial Hall Renovation (Ph. II)	\$0
CSC Reta King Library HVAC & Codes	\$0
Project Health, UNMC Campus, Omaha	\$100,000,000
Peter Kiewit Institute, UNO	\$5,000,000
College of Allied Health, UNMC Campus, Omaha	\$5,000,000
Totals	\$603,914,647

The following pages contain summaries of each capital construction budget request, including the amount of State funding requested, Commission action on approval (if required), recommended funding by the Commission (including modifications if applicable), and a project description.

LB 309 Task Force for Building Renewal Capital Construction Budget Request:

Fire & Life Safety / Deferred Repair / Americans with Disabilities Act / Energy Conservation Requests

Budget Request: \$276,275,770 (higher educ. only)

Commission Approval: The Task Force for Building Renewal, not the Commission, has statutory responsibility for review and allocation of funding for individual building renewal requests.

Commission Budget Recommendation: *The Commission recommends increasing appropriations to the Building Renewal Allocation Fund from the current \$9,163,000 per year to a minimum of \$18 million per year. Additional funding is necessary to address state-supported higher education facilities with replacement values that have more than doubled since the current funding level was established in 2002.*

Project Description: The request includes Fire & Life Safety, Deferred Repair, Americans with Disabilities Act (ADA), and Energy Conservation requests from the Nebraska State College System, University of Nebraska, and Nebraska College of Technical Agriculture.

Section III - Commission Recommendations



Institutions have identified \$8,365,500 in cooperative funds to support the funding request identified above.

Nebraska State College System Capital Construction Budget Request:

CSC-PSC-WSC M&R Pool

Budget Request: \$15,000,000

Future Budget Request: \$80,000,000

Commission Approval: Commission review and approval of M&R projects may be required and will be determined at project creation.

Commission Budget Recommendation: *The Commission recommends State appropriations as outlined in the State College System's request.*

Project Description: The State College System is requesting funds to primarily address deferred repair needs on each of its campuses. In addition to deferred repair, a small percentage of funding could also be used to address other building renewal work (fire & life safety, accessibility, etc.). This project would begin the process of implementing a system-wide long-term capital renewal and repair plan running from FY2026 through FY2035.

WSC Rice & Gardner IAC-ARC (Shortfall)

Budget Request: \$5,714,787

Commission Approval: Commission review and approval of the original project was received on March 10, 2022.

Commission Budget Recommendation: *The Commission recommends State appropriations as outlined in WSC's request. The Commission recommends that sufficient State General Funds be provided to complete the entire second phase of this project.*

Project Description: The requested funds would allow WSC to completely modernize and bring up to code the Rice restrooms and concession area associated with the Auditorium Lobby, and completely renovates the Gardner IAC gymnasium to a wood practice floor while updating portions of the building for much needed office space. New electrical, LED lighting, and HVAC controls are needed for both buildings, as well as important mechanical equipment such as air handling units (AHU's), an emergency generator, and battery back-up for emergency lighting.

Section III - Commission Recommendations



PSC Indoor Recreation Complex (Shortfall)

Budget Request: \$8,852,650

Commission Approval: Commission review and approval of the original project was received on July 21, 2022.

Commission Budget Recommendation: *The Commission recommends State appropriations as outlined in PSC's request. The Commission recommends that sufficient State General Funds be provided to complete the entire second phase of this project.*

Project Description: The requested funds will allow PSC to complete the second phase of the overall Indoor Recreation Complex project located at two different sites on Peru State's campus. Site #1 is the Baseball & Softball Complex in the southwest section of campus and Site #2 is the area directly north of the Oak Bowl Stadium. Funds will allow for construction of the indoor practice dome at Site #1 along with a paved road and a modern concession building for the Softball Field. Site #2 will include a support building with modern restrooms, training room and offices, storage for sports equipment, and a concessions area.

WSC Brandenburg Renovation & Addition

Budget Request: \$18,700,000

Commission Approval: Approved July 28, 2023

Commission Budget Recommendation: *The Commission recommends State appropriations as outlined in WSC's request. The Commission recommends that sufficient State General Funds be provided to complete the entire renovation, thereby allowing the LB 309 Task Force to use its limited funding for other unmet needs.*

Project Description: Wayne State College is requesting funding to renovate Brandenburg into a Student Service Center centralizing units that support student recruitment and retention. Student support and administrative services that may be housed in Brandenburg includes Admissions, Cooperative Education and Career Services, Financial Aid, Registration and Records, Student Accounts, Disability Services, and TRIO Student Support Services. Brandenburg requires completely new mechanical and electrical infrastructure, modernization for codes requirements, exterior tuck pointing, window replacement, and programmatic enhancements for new technologies. An elevator addition is needed to satisfy ADA requirements, and this addition

Section III - Commission Recommendations



will also contain the new restrooms with adequate fixture counts to meet modern codes.

PSC A.V. Larson Renovation & Addition

Budget Request: \$18,968,968

Commission Approval: Approved July 26, 2024

Commission Budget Recommendation: *The Commission recommends State appropriations as outlined in PSC's request. The Commission recommends that sufficient State General Funds be provided to complete the entire renovation, thereby allowing the LB 309 Task Force to use its limited funding for other unmet needs.*

Project Description: Constructed in 1960 as the campus Industrial Arts building, A.V. Larson eventually transitioned to focus primarily on fine art instruction. Situated at the focal point of the Park Avenue campus entrance, this facility is the first one encountered by visitors and prospective students. To take advantage of this opportunity, the Peru State College Admissions department will move into A.V. Larson upper level at the completion of the project. A modest addition opening to the entrance plaza will provide Admissions offices, meeting and presentation space for prospective students and their families, and student services space. A.V.

Larson requires major mechanical upgrades to include a geothermal system, electrical upgrades, window replacement, and exterior wall insulation enhancements to meet modern energy codes.

CSC New Music Building (Memorial – Ph. I)

Budget Request: \$23,335,843

Commission Approval: Approved July 26, 2024

Commission Budget Recommendation: *The Commission recommends State appropriations as outlined in CSC's request.*

Project Description: As part of the overall solution for the Memorial Hall facility and accreditation issues, Chadron State College proposes and requests a New Music Hall be built for the Music department as a first phase. The second phase is renovation of Memorial Hall for the Theatre and Fine Arts programs. This request is only for the New Music Hall – an approximate 25,000 gross square foot building. Although some renovations and HVAC improvements were made in the late 1990's and early 2000's, the building's mechanical and electrical infrastructure needs complete modernization. From a programmatic standpoint, the band and choral practice rooms are small and acoustically substandard with low

Section III - Commission Recommendations



ceilings. The auditorium is too wide for music performances and volumetrically deficient, requiring the roof to be raised, which is not feasible. Also, the acoustical construction needed for Music department performance and rehearsal spaces is more efficiently realized in new construction as opposed to trying to implement in a structurally inflexible building like Memorial Hall.

CSC Hildreth Hall Demolition

Budget Request: \$1,200,000

Commission Approval: Commission approval is not required for this project.

Commission Budget Recommendation: *The Commission recommends State appropriations as outlined in CSC's request. The Commission has recommended demolition of Hildreth Hall going back to the Commission's approval of their Capital Construction Budget Recommendations and Prioritization 2019-2021 Biennium in October of 2018.*

Project Description: Chadron State College is requesting funding to demolish Hildreth Hall on campus. Besides demolition of the building and removal of the debris, these funds would pay for restoration of the site to

include additional paved parking to the extent that the funds allow. Hildreth Hall has about 23,000 gross square feet (GSF) of constructed space and the College desires to demolish the building to offset the addition of about 25,000 GSF with the construction of the New Music Building which is Phase I of the overall solution for the Memorial Hall issues.

WSC Memorial Stadium Upgrades

Budget Request: \$6,873,573

Commission Approval: N/A

Commission Budget Recommendation: *Commission review and approval of individual deferred repair, fire & life safety, and accessibility projects is not required.*

Project Description: Wayne State College is requesting funding to replace both the synthetic field turf and the track surface, as well as complete reconstruction of deteriorated parking lot and storm sewer system. Both the track surface and the synthetic turf field are over a decade old and constant use during that time has taken its toll. New field turf and track surface is required to ensure safety and optimal performance. In addition, the large parking lot (Lot 1) for Memorial Stadium is deteriorating

Section III - Commission Recommendations



badly with crumbling concrete. The parking lot requires reconstruction, and the storm sewer handling water run-off from Memorial Stadium needs to be rebuilt.

PSC Oak Bowl Stadium Upgrades

Budget Request: \$1,897,950

Commission Approval: N/A

Commission Budget Recommendation: *Commission review and approval of individual deferred repair, fire & life safety, and accessibility projects is not required.*

Project Description: Peru State College is requesting funding to replace the synthetic field turf and make various repairs to the Stadium. The synthetic turf field is over a decade old and constant use during that time makes replacement necessary. New field turf is required to ensure safety and optimal performance for students engaging in sports played on the field. In addition, various Stadium upgrades are needed. Repairs to stadium seating, displaced concrete repair and replacement, stabilization of concrete settlement, and drainage upgrades are some of the maintenance needs for this facility.

WSC Humanities HVAC / Codes / Remodel

Budget Request: \$13,132,000

Commission Approval: Commission review and approval of the renovation request may be required following completion of a program statement.

Commission Budget Recommendation: *The Commission recommends State appropriations as outlined in WSC's request. The Commission recommends that sufficient State General Funds be provided to complete the entire renovation, thereby allowing the LB 309 Task Force to use its limited funding for other unmet needs.*

Project Description: Wayne State College is requesting funding for HVAC and codes upgrades and renovation of the Humanities building, which was built in 1912 and was last renovated in 1981. The building is currently home to the Communication Arts and Language & Literature departments and includes classrooms as well as studios for the campus radio and television stations, and campus newspaper. The Humanities building requires completely new HVAC equipment, mechanical systems and controls, new electrical and lighting systems, modernization for codes requirements, exterior tuck pointing, and programmatic enhancements for new technologies required in classrooms and studios.

Section III - Commission Recommendations



PSC Hoyt Science Windows / HVAC Renovation

Budget Request: \$6,725,250

Commission Approval: N/A

Commission Budget Recommendation: *Commission review and approval of individual deferred repair, fire & life safety, and accessibility projects is not required.*

Project Description: Peru State College is requesting funding to renovate parts of the Hoyt Science Building. Originally constructed in 1928, this science building was completely renovated in 2001, and a north wing added for new chemistry labs. Windows of the original building were replaced by new ones in 2001 but based on a windows and exterior study in 2023, unfortunately were not properly flashed into the exterior walls. Excessive deterioration of wood sills has occurred. Besides window and curtain wall replacements, a new roofing system for the skylighted roof, and exterior masonry & stone repairs are recommended in the study. The building also needs HVAC systems upgrades and new controls for better ventilation and efficiency. Renovation of labs, classrooms and common areas are also desired, but the extent of such will depend on what is left of the project budget once

the windows, curtain walls, exterior, and HVAC scopes are addressed.

CSC Nelson Physical Activities Center Reno & Add.

Budget Request: \$16,547,000

Commission Approval: Commission review and approval of the renovation request would be required following completion of a program statement.

Commission Budget Recommendation: *The Commission acknowledges the project and its order in the NSCS priorities. As there is no request for funding for the 2025-27 biennium, the Commission defers any recommendation until that time.*

Project Description: Chadron State College is requesting funding to completely renovate and add on to the Nelson Physical Activities Center. The 75,230 gross square foot (GSF) Nelson Physical Activities Center (NPAC) was constructed in 1987 and includes an indoor 200-meter track and physical education classrooms and offices. Based on the building assessment conducted at the start of the Facility Master Plan process in 2021, the mechanical systems are original and all new HVAC equipment is badly needed as well as an additional HVAC

Section III - Commission Recommendations



expansion throughout the building. The project will also address updates to locker rooms, restrooms, and bleachers, and include an addition to enlarge the indoor track to meet National Collegiate Athletics Association (NCAA) size requirements to host indoor collegiate events.

PSC Al Wheeler Activities Center Renovation

Budget Request: \$11,049,500

Commission Approval: Commission review and approval of the renovation request would be required following completion of a program statement.

Commission Budget Recommendation: *The Commission acknowledges the project and its order in the NSCS priorities. As there is no request for funding for the 2025-27 biennium, the Commission defers any recommendation until that time.*

Project Description: Peru State College is requesting funding for a program statement and to start design, to hire a construction manager at risk (CMR) firm to develop a Guaranteed Maximum Price (GMP) and complete the construction bid documents, and to begin construction. The Al Wheeler Activities Center was constructed in 1980, and a smaller scale renovation occurred in 2011. The

overall gross square footage of the facility is 49,360 GSF. In the building's 44-year history, the HVAC and electrical systems have not had a complete upgrade. The project includes converting the building HVAC system to geothermal at a cost of about \$3.5 million. Also envisioned for the project is renovation to include modern technology and student spaces for social and academic engagement. New electrical systems and converting to all LED lights is another maintenance & repair/building renewal portion of the proposed project.

CSC Memorial Hall Renovation (Ph. II)

Budget Request: \$31,938,300 total or \$27,938,300 from appropriations

Commission Approval: Approved July 26, 2024

Commission Budget Recommendation: *The Commission acknowledges the project and its order in the NSCS priorities. As there is no request for funding for the 2025-27 biennium, the Commission defers any recommendation until that time.*

Project Description: Chadron State College is requesting funding to renovate Memorial Hall for the Theatre and Fine Arts departments. The scope includes new HVAC systems and new electrical, lighting, and

Section III - Commission Recommendations



power systems, technology updates, and modernization of the auditorium/theatre. Constructed in 1953 as the campus student center, Memorial Hall eventually became an auditorium and academic building for the College and home to the Music, Fine Arts, and Theatre departments. Although some renovations and HVAC improvements were made in the late 1990's and early 2000's, the building's mechanical and electrical infrastructure needs complete modernization. From a programmatic standpoint, the band and choral practice rooms are small and acoustically substandard with low ceilings. The auditorium is too wide for music performances and volumetrically deficient, requiring an unfeasible raising of the roof. The various physical and programmatic deficiencies (too numerous to mention here) negatively impact the learning environment and jeopardize key accreditation renewals including the National Association of Schools of Music (NASM).

CSC Reta King Library HVAC & Codes

Budget Request: \$9,432,500

Commission Approval: N/A

Commission Budget Recommendation: *The Commission acknowledges the project and its order in the*

NSCS priorities. As there is no request for funding for the 2025-27 biennium, the Commission defers any recommendation until that time.

Project Description: Chadron State College is requesting funding to address deferred maintenance and repair in the Reta King Library. The scope of the project includes new HVAC systems and expansion of HVAC to areas of the building that are not currently air-conditioned, complete new electrical and power systems, and technology updates. The 46,000 gross square foot (GSF) Reta King Library was constructed in 1966, and only modest HVAC and electrical improvements have been made in the history of the building. Major mechanical and ventilation systems are well beyond their useful life and require all new upgrades and reconfiguration, including electrical systems and other code requirements.

Section III - Commission Recommendations



University of Nebraska Capital Construction

Budget Request:

UNMC Project Health

Budget Request: N/A

Commission Approval: The transfer of state tax funds as requested for this project satisfies the dollar threshold for Commission review and approval following completion of a program statement and Board of Regents approval.

Commission Budget Recommendation: *The Commission recommends State appropriations as outlined in the University's request.*

Project Description: UNMC's Project Health is Phase I of Project NExT, which will be a public-private partnership including substantial federal funding as well as state and philanthropic funds. In 2020, LB 1107 created the Transformational Projects Act to establish a framework that would allow UNMC to access \$300 million of state funds if certain fundraising and federal funds matching requirements were met. The \$300 million is currently identified in the state's Cash Reserve Fund, and the University's request is for \$50 million in FY26 and \$50 million in FY27 to be transferred and appropriated to the University as it meets matching requirements and moves

forward with Project Health. The request leaves \$200 million in the Cash Reserve Fund to be drawn down in subsequent years.

The project includes the replacement of Clarkson Hospital Tower (CKT – constructed in 1955) and associated patient and visitor parking, as well as the Emergency Department and certain diagnostic spaces located in the Hixson-Lied Center. The hospital will provide the primary quaternary care environment for UNMC | Nebraska Medicine, including clinical care, research in the clinical setting, and medical and allied health education.

UNO – PKI Renovation and Expansion

Budget Request: \$27,000,000

Commission Approval: Commission review and approval of this project would be required following completion of a program statement and Board of Regents approval.

Commission Budget Recommendation: *The Commission recommends State appropriations for planning as outlined in the University's request.*

Project Description: The University of Nebraska is requesting funds for the renovation and expansion of the

Section III - Commission Recommendations



Peter Kiewit Institute Building. The proposed project includes a 119,231-gross-square-foot addition and a 71,631-gross-square-foot renovation of PKI. Renovation work will include the third floor IS&T labs, and those areas of the building directly impacted by the addition. The addition will include improvements to pedestrian and vehicle circulation and safety, along with enhancements to the outdoor environment. Total project cost is estimated at \$107 million, with the remaining \$80 million to be funded through philanthropy or other sources.

UNMC College of Allied Health

Budget Request: \$20,000,000

Commission Approval: Commission review and approval of this project would be required following completion of a program statement and Board of Regents approval.

Commission Budget Recommendation: *The Commission recommends State appropriations for planning as outlined in the University's request.*

Project Description: The University of Nebraska is requesting funds for the construction of a new College of Allied Health Building. By evaluating area needs for a

shared facility to accommodate the needs of College of Allied Health Professionals (CAHP) from across the campus, and by incorporating reallocation and renovation of spaces in Wittson Hall, Bennett Hall, and the Michael F. Sorrell Center (MSC), a reduced building program can accommodate the CAHP program growth. The revised program provides a new shared, flexible learning environment for the college with a reduced building size requirement of approximately 82,933 GSF. This approach anticipates renovation of existing spaces in Wittson Hall and MSC of approximately 12,324 GSF to accommodate a dedicated gross anatomy lab space for allied health students and a reconfiguration of classrooms for flexible team-based learning pedagogy. The project will include funding from philanthropy or other sources.

Nebraska College of Technical Agriculture Capital Construction Budget Request:

NCTA has not requested funding for new construction, renovation, or planning projects for the 2051-2027 biennium.

Section III - Commission Recommendations



Capital Construction Budget Recommendations 2025-2027 Biennium for the Nebraska State College System, University of Nebraska, & Nebraska College of Technical Agriculture							
Institution	Project Title	Recommended Project Cost	Prior Expend./ Approp/Reaffir	Request Biennium		Future Consideration	Status/ Commission Action
				FY 2026	FY 2027		
Reaffirmation of Partially Funded Projects							
CSC/WSC	CSC Rangeland II & Math-Sci./WSC Conn Libr.	\$48,752,000	\$26,592,000	\$2,216,000	\$2,216,000	\$17,728,000	Recommend Funding
St. Col./Univ.	Systemwide - State College Facilities Program	\$92,920,000	\$43,365,000	\$2,565,000	\$2,565,000	\$44,425,000	Recommend Funding
St. Colleges	Systemwide - Fac. Fee Fund Projects	\$25,627,122	\$14,467,122	\$930,000	\$930,000	\$9,300,000	Recommend Funding
St. Colleges	Systemwide - Sport Facilities Fund Projects	\$7,100,000	\$3,500,000	\$300,000	\$300,000	\$3,000,000	Recommend Funding
UNL	Ag Innovation Facility	\$50,000,000	\$25,000,000	\$25,000,000	\$0	\$0	Recommend Funding
University	Systemwide - NU Facilities Renewal	\$115,216,128	\$3,642,928	\$4,462,928	\$4,462,928	\$102,647,344	Recommend Funding
University	Systemwide - University Facilities Program	\$1,250,555,136	\$31,500,000	\$31,500,000	\$31,500,000	\$1,156,055,136	Recommend Funding
<i>Subtotal - Reaffirmations</i>		<i>\$1,590,170,386</i>	<i>\$148,067,050</i>	<i>\$66,973,928</i>	<i>\$41,973,928</i>	<i>\$1,333,155,480</i>	
Nebraska State College System							
St. Colleges	CSC-PSC-WSC M&R Pool	\$95,000,000	\$0	\$5,000,000	\$10,000,000	\$80,000,000	Recommend Funding
WSC	Rice & Gardner IAC-ARC (Shortfall)	\$5,714,787	\$0	\$5,714,787	\$0	\$0	Recommend Funding
PSC	Indoor Recreation Complex (Shortfall)	\$8,852,650	\$0	\$8,852,650	\$0	\$0	Recommend Funding
WSC	Brandenburg Renovation & Add.	\$18,700,000	\$0	\$3,250,000	\$13,140,000	\$2,310,000	Recommend Funding
PSC	A.V. Larson Renovation & Addition	\$18,968,968	\$0	\$1,000,000	\$10,468,968	\$7,500,000	Recommend Funding
CSC	New Music Building (Memorial-Ph. I)	\$23,335,843	\$0	\$4,400,000	\$17,735,843	\$1,200,000	Recommend Funding
CSC	Hildreth Hall Demolition	\$1,200,000	\$0	\$1,200,000	\$0	\$0	Recommend Funding
WSC	Memorial Stadium Upgrades	\$6,873,573	\$0	\$6,873,573	\$0	\$0	Recommend Funding
PSC	Oak Bowl Stadium Upgrades	\$1,897,950	\$0	\$0	\$1,897,950	\$0	Recommend Funding
WSC	Humanities HVAC/Codes/Remodel	\$13,132,000	\$0	\$2,500,000	\$9,932,000	\$700,000	Recommend Funding
PSC	Hoyt Science Windows/HVAC	\$6,725,250	\$0	\$0	\$6,725,250	\$0	Recommend Funding
CSC	Nelson PAC Renovation & Addition	\$16,547,000	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$16,547,000	Recommend Funding
PSC	Al Wheeler Activities Center Renovation	\$11,049,500	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$11,049,500	Recommend Funding
CSC	Memorial Hall Renovation (Ph. II)	\$31,938,300	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$31,938,300	Recommend Funding
CSC	Reta King Library HVAC & Codes	\$9,432,500	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$9,432,500	Recommend Funding
<i>Subtotal - Nebraska State College System</i>		<i>\$269,368,321</i>	<i>\$0</i>	<i>\$38,791,010</i>	<i>\$69,900,011</i>	<i>\$160,677,300</i>	
University of Nebraska							
UNMC	Project Health	\$300,000,000	\$0	\$50,000,000	\$50,000,000	\$200,000,000	Recommend Funding
UNO	Peter Kiewit Institute	\$27,000,000	\$0	\$2,500,000	\$2,500,000	\$22,000,000	Recommend Planning Funds
UNMC	Student Life Building	\$20,000,000	\$0	\$2,500,000	\$2,500,000	\$15,000,000	Recommend Planning Funds
<i>Subtotal - University of Nebraska</i>		<i>\$347,000,000</i>	<i>\$0</i>	<i>\$55,000,000</i>	<i>\$55,000,000</i>	<i>\$237,000,000</i>	
Nebraska College of Technical Agriculture at Curtis							
NCTA		\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	
<i>Subtotal - Nebraska College of Technical Agriculture</i>		<i>\$0</i>	<i>\$0</i>	<i>\$0</i>	<i>\$0</i>	<i>\$0</i>	
LB309 Task Force for Building Renewal							
CSC	All TF309 Requests	\$41,835,324	\$0	\$41,835,324	\$0	\$0	Information Purposes Only
PSC	All TF309 Requests	\$9,180,210	\$0	\$9,180,210	\$0	\$0	Information Purposes Only
WSC	All TF309 Requests	\$19,052,728	\$0	\$19,052,728	\$0	\$0	Information Purposes Only
UNK	All TF309 Requests	\$21,200,000	\$0	\$14,050,000	\$7,150,000	\$0	Information Purposes Only
UNL / IANR	All TF309 Requests	\$29,383,500	\$0	\$29,240,500	\$143,000	\$0	Information Purposes Only
UNMC	All TF309 Requests	\$129,687,008	\$0	\$54,952,069	\$74,734,939	\$0	Information Purposes Only
UNO	All TF309 Requests	\$20,627,500	\$0	\$3,677,500	\$16,950,000	\$0	Information Purposes Only
NCTA	All TF309 Requests	\$13,675,000	\$0	\$2,675,000	\$11,000,000	\$0	Information Purposes Only
<i>Subtotal - TF309 Building Renewal Requests</i>				<i>\$174,663,331</i>	<i>\$109,977,939</i>		
Total - Nebr. State College Sys. / Univ. of Nebr. / NCTA		\$2,206,538,707	\$148,067,050	\$160,764,938	\$166,873,939	\$1,730,832,780	
Means of Financing							
State Bldg. Fund/NE Capital Constr. Fund/Cig. Taxes		\$1,467,841,585	\$90,109,928	\$140,094,938	\$146,203,939	\$1,091,432,780	
Civic and Community Center Financing Fund (sales tax transfers)		\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	
Cash Fund		\$691,100,000	\$21,500,000	\$18,300,000	\$18,300,000	\$633,000,000	
Revolving Funds		\$42,097,122	\$36,457,122	\$2,370,000	\$2,370,000	\$900,000	
Federal Funds		\$500,000	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$500,000	
Private/Other Funds		\$5,000,000	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$5,000,000	
Total - Nebr. State College Sys. / Univ. of Nebr. / NCTA		\$2,206,538,707	\$148,067,050	\$160,764,938	\$166,873,939	\$1,730,832,780	

Section III - Commission Recommendations



*Section IV - Commission
Prioritization of Approved
Capital Construction Budget
Requests*

Section IV - Commission Prioritization of Approved Projects



The Commission's priorities for the 2025-2027 biennium are included on page IV-5. This recommended sequencing of capital construction projects combines the separate capital construction budget requests from the Nebraska State College System, University of Nebraska, and Nebraska College of Technical Agriculture. The Commission develops from a statewide perspective a unified prioritization of individual capital construction budget requests for which it has recommended approval.

The Commission's prioritized list provides a statewide perspective of the most urgent public postsecondary education capital construction needs for the coming biennium. This prioritized list is submitted to the Governor and Legislature for their consideration. The Commission's highest priorities for the 2025-2027 biennium are:

- UNMC Project Health,
- CSC-PSC-WSC M&R Pool,
- WSC Rice & Gardner IAC-ARC (Shortfall)
- PSC Indoor Recreation Complex (Shortfall)
- UNO Peter Kiewit Institute.

Institutions and the State require a significant investment each biennium to maintain existing public four-year postsecondary education State-supported

facilities in a current state of condition. Should sufficient funding be unavailable over an extended time, backlogs of deferred repair and renovation/remodeling projects only shift increasing costs to future generations.

Reaffirmation funding of previously approved renovation/repair projects helps to meet a portion of this need. The Building Renewal Allocation Fund addresses a portion of this need by funding urgently needed deferred repair. Institutional operating funds and private donations also address some deferred repair and renovation/remodeling needs. Several institutional requests for State appropriations for the 2025-2027 biennium would address renewal and adaptation of facilities.

As funding becomes available, the Commission recommends funding projects in their entirety. Without full funding: 1) overall project costs increase 5% to 10% due to additional contractor start-up and shut-down costs; 2) partially funded projects require phasing that increases project costs due to inflation; and 3) the needs of students, faculty, staff, and the public that utilize these facilities are not fully met.

Section IV - Commission Prioritization of Approved Projects



Methodology

In developing a list of statewide priorities, the Commission uses 10 weighted criteria to evaluate individual capital construction budget requests. The percentage resulting from these criteria's cumulative point total establishes the recommended funding order of capital construction budget requests. In developing the prioritization process, a primary goal of the Commission is to protect building occupants, complete partially funded projects, and prevent further deterioration of the State's existing physical assets.

The following outline provides a synopsis of each criterion, including the maximum point total for each.

1. **Statewide Facilities Category** (30 pts. maximum)

The Commission determines a statewide ranking of broad facilities request categories each biennium as part of a continual evaluation of the State's needs.

2. **Sector Initiatives & Priorities** (10 points maximum)

Governing boards may designate initiatives that promote immediate sector capital construction needs for the coming biennium along with governing board priorities.

3. **Strategic and Long-Range Planning** (10 pts. max.)

Governing boards may display the need for individual capital construction budget requests through institutional strategic and long-range planning documents.

4. **Immediacy of Need** (10 points maximum)

Urgency of need for a capital construction budget request is considered.

5. **Quality of Facility** (10 points maximum)

The prioritization process analyzes the condition and functional use of existing space.

6. **Avoid Unnecessary Duplication** (10 points max.)

The process evaluates unnecessary duplication by reviewing a project's ability to increase access and/or serve a valid need while avoiding unnecessary duplication.

7. **Appropriate Quantity of Space** (5 points maximum)

An institution can show how a capital construction budget request provides an appropriate quantity of space for the intended program or service.

8. **Statewide Role and Mission** (5 points maximum)

Broad statewide role and mission categories are considered.

Section IV - Commission Prioritization of Approved Projects



9. Facility Maintenance Expenditures (5 points max.)

This process considers the ability of an institution to maintain its existing facilities.

10. Ongoing Costs (5 points maximum)

Potential long-term costs (or savings) associated with a capital construction budget request is considered.

The Commission's *Prioritization Process for Capital Construction Budget Requests* used for the 2025-2027 biennium provides detailed definitions of each individual criterion. Explanatory information regarding the prioritization of individual capital construction budget requests is included at the end of this section.

Sector Initiatives & Priorities

The Commission encourages governing boards to target specific areas of their capital budget requests as "sector initiatives." This allows each sector to identify programmatic initiatives related to capital construction budget requests that are a high priority to the institution and the State. The need for a facility cannot be determined solely on how much space an institution requires or the condition of its buildings. Facilities evaluations must also consider strategic initiatives for

postsecondary education in order to respond expeditiously to meet Nebraskans' educational, economic, and societal needs. This allows each sector to identify its immediate or short-term initiatives that relate to capital construction.

The Commission's prioritization process allows the Nebraska State College System Board of Trustees to identify up to two sector initiatives and the University of Nebraska Central Administration to designate up to three sector initiatives.

Nebraska State College System:

The Nebraska State College System Board of Trustees approved the following language for sector initiatives:

- "To enhance educational and health & wellness opportunities for students, and to increase the potential for enrollment and retention, the Board of Trustees of the Nebraska State College System will focus its attention during the 2025-2027 biennium on capital projects that primarily renovate existing instructional, recreational, and athletic facilities to the most efficient and productive condition possible.

Section IV - Commission Prioritization of Approved Projects



- Where new construction is necessary to replace a deteriorating facility, enhance technology learning and utilization, create space for critical functions that do not currently exist, or accommodate enrollment growth, the facilities will incorporate the most energy efficient, easily maintained construction components that can be acquired within available resources. Technology resources will be designed to facilitate cooperative ventures with educational partners and enhance opportunities for student access and administrative savings.”

The Nebraska State College’s highest priorities were CSC-PSC-WSC M&R Pool, WSC Rice & Gardner IAC-ARC (Shortfall), and PSC Indoor Recreation Complex (Shortfall).

University of Nebraska:

The University of Nebraska has not provided sector initiatives in its biennial capital construction budget request. The University’s priorities were UNMC Project Health, UNO Peter Kiewit Institute, and UNMC College of Allied Health.

Other Previously Approved Projects

Changes in governing board priorities sometimes result in previously requested projects being excluded in future biennial budget request cycles. The Commission is not aware of any other project eligible for State funding and previously approved by the Commission, for which governing boards are not requesting State funding in the 2025-2027 biennial capital construction budget request cycle.

Section IV - Commission Prioritization of Approved Projects



Unified Statewide Capital Construction Budget Request Priorities 2025-2027 Biennium for the Nebraska State College System, University of Nebraska, & Nebraska College of Technical Agriculture

Priority	Institution	Budget Request Title ¹	2025-2027 Biennium State Appropriation Amount Recommended	Prioritization Criteria											Total Points	Possible Points	Percent of Points
				Statewide Facilities Category Rank	Sector Initiatives & Priorities	Inst. Strategic & Long-Range Plan	Immediacy of Need	Addressing Quality of Facility	Avoid Unnecessary Duplication	Appropriate Quantity of Space	Statewide Role & Mission	Bldg. Maintenance Expenditures	Ongoing Costs				
1.	UNMC	Project Health	\$100,000,000	27.0	10.0	10.0	10.0	9.0	10.0	0.0	5.0	5.0	3.0	89.0	95	94%	
2.	St. Colleges	CSC-PSC-WSC M&R Pool	\$15,000,000	27.0	10.0	10.0	9.0	0.0	10.0	0.0	4.0	0.0	3.0	73.0	80	91%	
3.	WSC	Rice & Gardner IAC-ARC (Shortfall)	\$5,714,787	27.0	9.0	10.0	9.0	6.0	10.0	5.0	4.0	5.0	2.0	87.0	100	87%	
4.	PSC	Indoor Recreation Complex (Shortfall)	\$8,852,650	27.0	8.0	10.0	9.0	6.0	10.0	5.0	4.0	0.5	2.0	81.5	100	82%	
5.	UNO	Peter Kiewit Institute	\$5,000,000	18.0	9.0	8.0	8.0	8.0	10.0	0.0	5.0	5.0	3.0	74.0	95	78%	
6.	UNMC	College of Allied Health	\$5,000,000	18.0	8.0	10.0	8.0	6.0	10.0	0.0	5.0	5.0	3.0	73.0	95	77%	
6.	WSC	Brandenburg Renovation & Add.	\$16,390,000	15.0	7.0	9.0	10.0	9.5	10.0	5.0	4.0	5.0	2.0	76.5	100	77%	
8.	PSC	A.V. Larson Renovation & Addition	\$11,468,968	15.0	6.0	9.0	8.0	8.0	10.0	4.0	5.0	0.5	2.0	67.5	100	68%	
9.	WSC	Humanities HVAC/Codes/Remodel	\$12,432,000	15.0	2.0	6.0	8.0	9.0	10.0	0.0	5.0	5.0	3.0	63.0	95	66%	
10.	CSC	New Music Building (Memorial-Ph. I)	\$22,135,843	12.0	5.0	8.0	8.0	5.0	10.0	5.0	5.0	5.0	2.0	65.0	100	65%	
10.	CSC	Hildreth Hall Demolition	\$1,200,000	15.0	4.0	6.0	5.0	10.0	10.0	0.0	0.0	5.0	0.0	55.0	85	65%	
12.	WSC	Memorial Stadium Upgrades	\$6,873,573	15.0	3.0	6.0	6.0	6.0	10.0	0.0	5.0	5.0	3.0	59.0	95	62%	
13.	PSC	Hoyt Science Windows/HVAC	\$6,725,250	15.0	0.0	6.0	8.0	5.5	10.0	0.0	5.0	0.5	3.0	53.0	90	59%	
14.	PSC	Oak Bowl Upgrades	\$1,897,950	15.0	2.0	6.0	6.0	5.0	10.0	0.0	5.0	0.5	3.0	52.5	95	55%	
Possible Points for each Prioritization Criterion			\$218,691,021	30.0	10.0	10.0	10.0	10.0	10.0	5.0	5.0	5.0	5.0		100		

¹ This prioritized list does not include individual capital construction budget requests seeking reaffirmation funding, Commission-approved projects not included in a governing board budget request, or Renewal Requests for TF309.

#1 UNMC Project Health



Date of Governing Board Approval: August 8, 2024
Date of Commission Approval: Will occur upon completion of IDR's.
Phasing Considerations: This project will have several phases.

Prioritization Criteria Descriptions and Comments	Awarded Points	Maximum Points
<p>1. Ranking the project according to broad statewide facilities categories. <i>Comments:</i> Partially Funded requests are ranked 2nd out of 10 statewide facilities categories used to evaluate overall capital construction needs.</p>	27	30
<p>2. Governing board priority or designated "sector initiative." <i>Comments:</i> This project was prioritized first by the Board of Regents.</p>	10	10
<p>3. Degree that project complies with strategic and comprehensive facilities plans. <i>Comments:</i> UNMC Nebraska Medicine has developed Guiding Principles that establish the vision, values, and priorities to set the course for design and decision making throughout the life of the project. The project supports Campus Facility Development Plan goals.</p>	10	10
<p>4. The immediacy of need for the project. <i>Comments:</i> This funding transfer request should occur posthaste to keep the project on schedule.</p>	10	10
<p>5. The quality of the existing facility as measured by its physical condition and functionality. <i>Comments:</i> Clarkson Tower has been studied over the years on how to best renew and extend its life for the next 50 years. Constructed in 1955, the community hospital was designed with what was, at the time, a standard structural grid that provided the framework for hospitals of their era.</p>	9	10

#1 UNMC Project Health

Prioritization Criteria Descriptions and Comments	Awarded Points	Maximum Points
6. Degree that the project demonstrates it is not an unnecessary duplication of facilities. <i>Comments:</i> This request does not unnecessarily duplicate facilities.	10	10
7. The amount of space requested as compared with a program's needs. <i>Comments:</i> This criterion is not applicable at this time.	0	0
8. Types of space in the project compared to statewide role and mission priorities. <i>Comments:</i> This proposal affects undergraduate instructional, academic-support, and public service space. It also supports Graduate and Professional Instructional space.	5	5
9. Degree that the institution maintains its existing tax-supported facilities. <i>Comments:</i> Facility maintenance expenditures on State-supported buildings at UNMC was greater than 1% of their current replacement value for the most recent biennium.	5	5
10. The potential long-term costs (or savings) associated with a project. <i>Comments:</i> This project should not require additional State resources for new building operations and maintenance costs.	3	5
TOTAL POINTS	89	95
PERCENTAGE OF AWARDED POINTS/MAXIMUM POINTS	93.7%	

#2 CSC-PSC-WSC M&R Pool



Date of Governing Board Approval: Not Applicable
Date of Commission Approval: Not Applicable
Phasing Considerations: No phasing considerations.

Prioritization Criteria Descriptions and Comments	Awarded Points	Maximum Points
<p>1. Ranking the project according to broad statewide facilities categories.</p> <p><i>Comments:</i> The goal of this project is to create a fund for the continued maintenance of existing facilities. This proactive approach to maintenance funding will eventually reduce the overall cost of replacement necessary. This request is to provide for an M&R pool to target deferred maintenance needs systemwide.</p>	27	30
<p>2. Governing board priority or designated "sector initiative."</p> <p><i>Comments:</i> This request was ranked #1 by the Nebraska State Colleges System. This request does not contain a designated sector initiative.</p>	10	10
<p>3. Degree that project complies with strategic and comprehensive facilities plans.</p> <p><i>Comments:</i> The building assessments in 2021 performed by professional architects and engineers informed the three Facility Master Plans and the project cost estimates contained in them. The sizable, combined price tag (\$300M+) for primarily maintenance and repair, building renewal, and renovation scopes indicated that the Nebraska State College System (NSCS) needs a consistent annual appropriation to address this backlog.</p>	10	10
<p>4. The immediacy of need for the project.</p> <p><i>Comments:</i> The cost to remedy identified maintenance deficiencies will continue to increase over time. The immediacy of this project will help to alleviate these issues, while minimizing costs.</p>	9	10

#2 CSC-PSC-WSC M&R Pool

Prioritization Criteria Descriptions and Comments	Awarded Points	Maximum Points
5. The quality of the existing facility as measured by its physical condition and functionality. <i>Comments:</i> This criterion is not applicable for this type of request.	0	0
6. Degree that the project demonstrates it is not an unnecessary duplication of facilities. <i>Comments:</i> This request does not unnecessarily duplicate facilities.	10	10
7. The amount of space requested as compared with a program's needs. <i>Comments:</i> This criterion is not applicable since this request will not increase building area.	0	0
8. Types of space in the project compared to statewide role and mission priorities. <i>Comments:</i> This request will address all types of space	4	5
9. Degree that the institution maintains its existing tax-supported facilities. <i>Comments:</i> This criterion is not applicable for this type of request.	0	0
10. The potential long-term costs (or savings) associated with a project. <i>Comments:</i> This request does not require additional State resources for a facility's operations and maintenance.	3	5
TOTAL POINTS	73	80
PERCENTAGE OF AWARDED POINTS/MAXIMUM POINTS	91.3%	

#3 WSC Rice & Gardner IAC-ARC (Shortfall)



Date of Governing Board Approval: January 17, 2022

Date of Commission Approval: March 10, 2022

Phasing Considerations: This project is the funding Shortfall of project underway.

Prioritization Criteria Descriptions and Comments	Awarded Points	Maximum Points
<p>1. Ranking the project according to broad statewide facilities categories. <i>Comments:</i> Partially funded requests are ranked 2nd out of 10 statewide facilities categories used to evaluate overall capital construction needs.</p>	27	30
<p>2. Governing board priority or designated "sector initiative." <i>Comments:</i> This project was prioritized second by the Board of Trustees.</p>	9	10
<p>3. Degree that project complies with strategic and comprehensive facilities plans. <i>Comments:</i> The project shows clear links between the College's Strategic Plan and Facilities Plan.</p>	10	10
<p>4. The immediacy of need for the project. <i>Comments:</i> Because this project is to remedy a budget shortfall due to the global Covid-19 pandemic and ensuing construction price increases, this project is necessary to fully complete an already approved and funded first phase.</p>	9	10
<p>5. The quality of the existing facility as measured by its physical condition and functionality. <i>Comments:</i> The condition of the current facilities show clear deficiencies in both condition and functionality.</p>	6	10
<p>6. Degree that the project demonstrates it is not an unnecessary duplication of facilities. <i>Comments:</i> This request does not unnecessarily duplicate facilities.</p>	10	10

#3 WSC Rice & Gardner IAC-ARC (Shortfall) Continued

Prioritization Criteria Descriptions and Comments	Awarded Points	Maximum Points
<p>7. The amount of space requested as compared with a program’s needs. <i>Comments:</i> During the development of the projects Program Statement, affected Service and Academic departments worked with professional planners to justify requested space needs.</p>	5	5
<p>8. Types of space in the project compared to statewide role and mission priorities. <i>Comments:</i> This proposal includes space for Student Support services.</p>	4	5
<p>9. Degree that the institution maintains its existing tax-supported facilities. <i>Comments:</i> Facility maintenance expenditures on State-supported buildings at WSC averaged was greater than 1% of their current replacement value for the most recent biennium.</p>	5	5
<p>10. The potential long-term costs (or savings) associated with a project. <i>Comments:</i> This project contains a justifiable O&M increase.</p>	2	5
<p>TOTAL POINTS</p>	87	100
<p>PERCENTAGE OF AWARDED POINTS/MAXIMUM POINTS</p>		87%

#4 PSC Indoor Recreation Complex (Shortfall)



Date of Governing Board Approval: April 21, 2022

Date of Commission Approval: May 12, 2022

Phasing Considerations: This project is the funding Shortfall of project underway.

Prioritization Criteria Descriptions and Comments	Awarded Points	Maximum Points
<p>1. Ranking the project according to broad statewide facilities categories. <i>Comments:</i> Partially funded requests are ranked 2nd out of 10 statewide facilities categories used to evaluate overall capital construction needs.</p>	27	30
<p>2. Governing board priority or designated "sector initiative." <i>Comments:</i> This project was prioritized third by the Board of Trustees.</p>	8	10
<p>3. Degree that project complies with strategic and comprehensive facilities plans. <i>Comments:</i> The project shows clear links between the College's Strategic Plan and Facilities Plan.</p>	10	10
<p>4. The immediacy of need for the project. <i>Comments:</i> Because this project is to remedy a budget shortfall due to the global Covid-19 pandemic and ensuing construction price increases, this project is necessary to fully complete an already approved and funded first phase.</p>	9	10
<p>5. The quality of the existing facility as measured by its physical condition and functionality. <i>Comments:</i> The condition of the current facilities show clear deficiencies in both condition and functionality.</p>	6	10
<p>6. Degree that the project demonstrates it is not an unnecessary duplication of facilities. <i>Comments:</i> This request does not unnecessarily duplicate facilities.</p>	10	10

#4 PSC Indoor Recreation Complex (Shortfall) Continued

Prioritization Criteria Descriptions and Comments	Awarded Points	Maximum Points
<p>7. The amount of space requested as compared with a program’s needs. <i>Comments:</i> During the development of the projects Program Statement, affected Service and Academic departments worked with professional planners to justify requested space needs.</p>	5	5
<p>8. Types of space in the project compared to statewide role and mission priorities. <i>Comments:</i> This proposal includes space for Student Support services.</p>	4	5
<p>9. Degree that the institution maintains its existing tax-supported facilities. <i>Comments:</i> Facility maintenance expenditures on State-supported buildings at PSC were greater than 0.5%, but less than 0.6% of their current replacement value for the most recent biennium.</p>	.5	5
<p>10. The potential long-term costs (or savings) associated with a project. <i>Comments:</i> This project contains a justifiable O&M increase.</p>	2	5
<p>TOTAL POINTS</p>	81.5	100
<p>PERCENTAGE OF AWARDED POINTS/MAXIMUM POINTS</p>		81.5

#5 UNO Peter Kiewit Institute



Date of Governing Board Approval: Not Applicable
Date of Commission Approval: Not required for development of a program statement.
Phasing Considerations: No phasing considerations.

Prioritization Criteria Descriptions and Comments	Awarded Points	Maximum Points
<p>1. Ranking the project according to broad statewide facilities categories. <i>Comments:</i> Master planning and programming requests are ranked 5th out of 10 statewide facilities categories used to evaluate overall capital construction needs.</p>	18	30
<p>2. Governing board priority or designated "sector initiative." <i>Comments:</i> This project was prioritized second by the Board of Regents.</p>	9	10
<p>3. Degree that project complies with strategic and comprehensive facilities plans. <i>Comments:</i> UNO completed an independent and comprehensive assessment of all academic spaces at UNO, including COE. The assessment reviewed historical growth and space use, and determined space needs to sustain planned growth over the next 10 years.</p>	8	10
<p>4. The immediacy of need for the project. <i>Comments:</i> This request should be funded in the next couple biennia to fully assess the programming of new and renovated space.</p>	8	10
<p>5. The quality of the existing facility as measured by its physical condition and functionality. <i>Comments:</i> The existing facility was designed in 1997. The proposed project includes a 119,231-gross-square-foot addition and a 71,631-gross-square-foot renovation of PKI. Renovation work will include the third floor IS&T labs and those areas of the building directly impacted by the addition. The addition will include improvements to pedestrian and vehicle circulation and safety, along with enhancements to the outdoor environment.</p>	8	10

#5 UNO Peter Kiewit Institute

Prioritization Criteria Descriptions and Comments	Awarded Points	Maximum Points
<p>6. Degree that the project demonstrates it is not an unnecessary duplication of facilities. <i>Comments:</i> This request does not unnecessarily duplicate facilities.</p>	10	10
<p>7. The amount of space requested as compared with a program’s needs. <i>Comments:</i> This criterion is not applicable since detailed space needs would be developed as part of the project’s programming phase.</p>	0	0
<p>8. Types of space in the project compared to statewide role and mission priorities. <i>Comments:</i> This proposal affects undergraduate instructional, academic-support, and public service space.</p>	5	5
<p>9. Degree that the institution maintains its existing tax-supported facilities. <i>Comments:</i> Facility maintenance expenditures on State-supported buildings at UNO was greater than 1% of their current replacement value for the most recent biennium.</p>	5	5
<p>10. The potential long-term costs (or savings) associated with a project. <i>Comments:</i> This project should not require additional State resources for new building operations and maintenance costs.</p>	3	5
<p>TOTAL POINTS</p>	74	95
<p>PERCENTAGE OF AWARDED POINTS/MAXIMUM POINTS</p>	77.9%	

#6 (tie) UNMC Student Life Building



Date of Governing Board Approval:

Date of Commission Approval: Not required for development of a program statement.

Phasing Considerations: No phasing considerations.

Prioritization Criteria Descriptions and Comments	Awarded Points	Maximum Points
<p>1. Ranking the project according to broad statewide facilities categories. <i>Comments:</i> Master planning and programming requests are ranked 5th out of 10 statewide facilities categories used to evaluate overall capital construction needs.</p>	18	30
<p>2. Governing board priority or designated "sector initiative." <i>Comments:</i> This project was prioritized third by the Board of Regents.</p>	8	10
<p>3. Degree that project complies with strategic and comprehensive facilities plans. <i>Comments:</i> The project to address growth and spatial needs with the College of Allied Health Professions, supports the mission of the University of Nebraska Medical Center to improve the health of Nebraska through premier educational programs, innovative research, the highest quality patient care, and outreach to underserved populations.</p>	10	10
<p>4. The immediacy of need for the project. <i>Comments:</i> This request should be funded in the next couple biennia to assess the renovation needs.</p>	8	10
<p>5. The quality of the existing facility as measured by its physical condition and functionality. <i>Comments:</i> In 2019 on the Omaha campus, the College of Allied Health Professions occupied just over 114,000 BGSF in five buildings across the Omaha campus. In 2021, additional classrooms and specialized teaching lab requirements for two programs were included in the renovation of Wittson Hall.</p>	6	10

#6 (tie) UNMC Student Life Building

Prioritization Criteria Descriptions and Comments	Awarded Points	Maximum Points
<p>6. Degree that the project demonstrates it is not an unnecessary duplication of facilities. <i>Comments:</i> This request does not unnecessarily duplicate facilities.</p>	10	10
<p>7. The amount of space requested as compared with a program's needs. <i>Comments:</i> This criterion is not applicable since detailed space needs would be developed as part of the project's programming phase.</p>	0	0
<p>8. Types of space in the project compared to statewide role and mission priorities. <i>Comments:</i> This proposal affects undergraduate instructional, academic-support, and public service space.</p>	5	5
<p>9. Degree that the institution maintains its existing tax-supported facilities. <i>Comments:</i> Facility maintenance expenditures on State-supported buildings at UNMC was greater than 1% of their current replacement value for the most recent biennium.</p>	5	5
<p>10. The potential long-term costs (or savings) associated with a project. <i>Comments:</i> This project should not require additional State resources for new building operations and maintenance costs.</p>	3	5
<p>TOTAL POINTS</p>	73.0	95
<p>PERCENTAGE OF AWARDED POINTS/MAXIMUM POINTS</p>	76.8%	

#6 (tie) WSC Brandenburg Renovation & Addition



Date of Governing Board Approval: June 9, 2023
Date of Commission Approval: July 28, 2023
Phasing Considerations: No phasing considerations.

Prioritization Criteria Descriptions and Comments	Awarded Points	Maximum Points
<p>1. Ranking the project according to broad statewide facilities categories. <i>Comments:</i> Renovation requests are ranked 6th out of 10 statewide facilities categories used to evaluate overall capital construction needs.</p>	15	30
<p>2. Governing board priority or designated "sector initiative." <i>Comments:</i> This project was prioritized fourth by the Board of Trustees.</p>	7	10
<p>3. Degree that project complies with strategic and comprehensive facilities plans. <i>Comments:</i> The <i>Facility Master Plan</i> completed in April of 2022, identified the Brandenburg Renovation as a High Impact and High Priority project to accomplish by the year 2030. In addition, a new south semi-circular entrance drive and visitor drop off site improvement for Brandenburg was identified on page 120 of the College Facility Master Plan as a companion "High Priority" project to accomplish along with the renovation of the building for student services. The <i>Plan</i> also provides some linkage to strategic planning initiatives.</p>	9	10
<p>4. The immediacy of need for the project. <i>Comments:</i> The services provided by the departments moving into this renovated space are instrumental to the success of the College. Student support and administrative services that may be housed in Brandenburg includes Admissions, Cooperative Education and Career Services, Financial Aid, Registration and Records, Student Accounts, Disability Services, and TRIO Student Support Services.</p>	10	10

#6 (tie) WSC Brandenburg Renovation & Addition Continued



Prioritization Criteria Descriptions and Comments	Awarded Points	Maximum Points
<p>5. The quality of the existing facility as measured by its physical condition and functionality. <i>Comments:</i> The total estimated value of TF309 eligible work in the project is \$7.5M. This amount greatly exceeds 10% of replacement value.</p>	9.5	10
<p>6. Degree that the project demonstrates it is not an unnecessary duplication of facilities. <i>Comments:</i> This request does not unnecessarily duplicate facilities.</p>	10	10
<p>7. The amount of space requested as compared with a program's needs. <i>Comments:</i> During the development of the Facility Master Plan, affected Service departments worked with professional planners to justify requested space needs.</p>	5	5
<p>8. Types of space in the project compared to statewide role and mission priorities. <i>Comments:</i> This proposal includes space for Student Support services.</p>	4	5
<p>9. Degree that the institution maintains its existing tax-supported facilities. <i>Comments:</i> Facility maintenance expenditures on State-supported buildings at WSC averaged was greater than 1% of their current replacement value for the most recent biennium.</p>	5	5
<p>10. The potential long-term costs (or savings) associated with a project. <i>Comments:</i> This project contains a justifiable O&M increase.</p>	2	5
<p>TOTAL POINTS</p>	76.5	100
<p>PERCENTAGE OF AWARDED POINTS/MAXIMUM POINTS</p>	76.5%	

#8 PSC A.V. Larson Renovation & Addition



Date of Governing Board Approval: April 18, 2024
Date of Commission Approval: July 26, 2024
Phasing Considerations: No phasing considerations.

Prioritization Criteria Descriptions and Comments	Awarded Points	Maximum Points
<p>1. Ranking the project according to broad statewide facilities categories. <i>Comments:</i> Renovation requests are ranked 6th out of 10 statewide facilities categories used to evaluate overall capital construction needs.</p>	15	30
<p>2. Governing board priority or designated "sector initiative." <i>Comments:</i> This project was prioritized fifth by the Board of Trustees.</p>	6	10
<p>3. Degree that project complies with strategic and comprehensive facilities plans. <i>Comments:</i> The <i>Facility Master Plan</i> completed in April of 2022, identified the Brandenburg Renovation as a High Priority and High Impact project.</p>	9	10
<p>4. The immediacy of need for the project. <i>Comments:</i> A portion of this renovation is space for the Admissions Department of the college. This department having modern and easily accessible offices is instrumental to the continued success of the college.</p>	8	10
<p>5. The quality of the existing facility as measured by its physical condition and functionality. <i>Comments:</i> The total estimated value of TF309 eligible work in the project is \$1.7M. This amount greatly exceeds 10% of replacement value.</p>	8	10
<p>6. Degree that the project demonstrates it is not an unnecessary duplication of facilities. <i>Comments:</i> This request does not unnecessarily duplicate facilities.</p>	10	10

#8 PSC A.V. Larson Renovation & Addition Continued

Prioritization Criteria Descriptions and Comments	Awarded Points	Maximum Points
<p>7. The amount of space requested as compared with a program’s needs. <i>Comments:</i> This criterion is not applicable since detailed space needs would be developed as part of the project’s programming phase.</p>	4	5
<p>8. Types of space in the project compared to statewide role and mission priorities. <i>Comments:</i> During the development of the Program Statement, the Admissions Department and affected Academic Programs worked with professional planners to justify requested space needs.</p>	5	5
<p>9. Degree that the institution maintains its existing tax-supported facilities. <i>Comments:</i> Facility maintenance expenditures on State-supported buildings at PSC were greater than 0.5%, but less than 0.6% of their current replacement value for the most recent biennium.</p>	.5	5
<p>10. The potential long-term costs (or savings) associated with a project. <i>Comments:</i> This project contains a justifiable O&M increase.</p>	2	5
<p>TOTAL POINTS</p>	67.5	100
<p>PERCENTAGE OF AWARDED POINTS/MAXIMUM POINTS</p>	67.5%	

#9 WSC Humanities HVAC/Codes/Remodel



Date of Governing Board Approval: Not Applicable
Date of Commission Approval: Not Applicable
Phasing Considerations: No phasing considerations.

Prioritization Criteria Descriptions and Comments	Awarded Points	Maximum Points
<p>1. Ranking the project according to broad statewide facilities categories. <i>Comments:</i> Renovation requests are ranked 6th out of 10 statewide facilities categories used to evaluate overall capital construction needs.</p>	15	30
<p>2. Governing board priority or designated "sector initiative." <i>Comments:</i> This project was prioritized ninth by the Board of Trustees.</p>	2	10
<p>3. Degree that project complies with strategic and comprehensive facilities plans. <i>Comments:</i> The <i>Facility Master Plan</i> completed in April of 2022, identified this project as High Priority.</p>	6	10
<p>4. The immediacy of need for the project. <i>Comments:</i> This request should be funded in the next couple biennia to address the renovation needs.</p>	8	10
<p>5. The quality of the existing facility as measured by its physical condition and functionality. <i>Comments:</i> The Humanities building was last renovated over 40 years ago and requires completely new HVAC equipment, mechanical systems and controls, new electrical and lighting systems, modernization for codes requirements, exterior tuck pointing, and programmatic enhancements for new technologies required in classrooms and studios.</p>	9	10

#9 WSC Humanities HVAC/Codes/Remodel

Prioritization Criteria Descriptions and Comments	Awarded Points	Maximum Points
<p>6. Degree that the project demonstrates it is not an unnecessary duplication of facilities. <i>Comments:</i> This request does not unnecessarily duplicate facilities.</p>	10	10
<p>7. The amount of space requested as compared with a program's needs. <i>Comments:</i> This criterion is not applicable since detailed space needs would be developed as part of the project's programming phase.</p>	0	0
<p>8. Types of space in the project compared to statewide role and mission priorities. <i>Comments:</i> This proposal affects undergraduate instructional, academic-support, and public service space.</p>	5	5
<p>9. Degree that the institution maintains its existing tax-supported facilities. <i>Comments:</i> Facility maintenance expenditures on State-supported buildings at WSC averaged was greater than 1% of their current replacement value for the most recent biennium.</p>	5	5
<p>10. The potential long-term costs (or savings) associated with a project. <i>Comments:</i> This project should not require additional State resources for new building operations and maintenance costs.</p>	3	5
<p>TOTAL POINTS</p>	63	95
<p>PERCENTAGE OF AWARDED POINTS/MAXIMUM POINTS</p>	66.3%	

#10 (tie) CSC New Music Building (Memorial – Ph. I)



Date of Governing Board Approval: April 18, 2024
Date of Commission Approval: July 26, 2024
Phasing Considerations: No phasing considerations.

Prioritization Criteria Descriptions and Comments	Awarded Points	Maximum Points
<p>1. Ranking the project according to broad statewide facilities categories. <i>Comments:</i> New Construction requests are ranked 7th out of 10 statewide facilities categories used to evaluate overall capital construction needs.</p>	12	30
<p>2. Governing board priority or designated "sector initiative." <i>Comments:</i> This project was prioritized sixth by the Board of Trustees.</p>	5	10
<p>3. Degree that project complies with strategic and comprehensive facilities plans. <i>Comments:</i> The <i>Facility Master Plan</i> completed in April of 2022, identified the Memorial Hall Project as a High Impact and High Priority project.</p>	8	10
<p>4. The immediacy of need for the project. <i>Comments:</i> This request should be funded in the next couple biennia to allow for Phase Two of this project to occur before the current building becomes uninhabitable.</p>	8	10
<p>5. The quality of the existing facility as measured by its physical condition and functionality. <i>Comments:</i> Because this is new construction, no points were awarded for the Condition of Primary, Secondary, and Service Systems. Full points were awarded for the Functional Layout of Space.</p>	5	10
<p>6. Degree that the project demonstrates it is not an unnecessary duplication of facilities. <i>Comments:</i> This request does not unnecessarily duplicate facilities.</p>	10	10

#10 (tie) CSC New Music Building (Memorial – Ph. I) Continued

Prioritization Criteria Descriptions and Comments	Awarded Points	Maximum Points
<p>7. The amount of space requested as compared with a program’s needs. <i>Comments:</i> During the development of the Program Statement creation, affected academic departments worked with professional planners to justify requested space needs.</p>	5	5
<p>8. Types of space in the project compared to statewide role and mission priorities. <i>Comments:</i> This proposal affects undergraduate instructional, academic-support, and public service space.</p>	5	5
<p>9. Degree that the institution maintains its existing tax-supported facilities. <i>Comments:</i> Facility maintenance expenditures on State-supported buildings at CSC averaged was greater than 1% of their current replacement value for the most recent biennium.</p>	5	5
<p>10. The potential long-term costs (or savings) associated with a project. <i>Comments:</i> This project contains a justifiable O&M increase.</p>	2	5
<p>TOTAL POINTS</p>	65	100
<p>PERCENTAGE OF AWARDED POINTS/MAXIMUM POINTS</p>		65%

#10 (tie) CSC / Hildreth Hall Demolition



Date of Governing Board Approval: Not Applicable
Date of Commission Approval: Not required for demolition
Phasing Considerations: No phasing considerations.

Prioritization Criteria Descriptions and Comments	Awarded Points	Maximum Points
<p>1. Ranking the project according to broad statewide facilities categories. <i>Comments:</i> Replacement requests are ranked 6th out of 10 statewide facilities categories used to evaluate overall capital construction needs.</p>	15	30
<p>2. Governing board priority or designated "sector initiative." <i>Comments:</i> This project was prioritized seventh by the Board of Trustees.</p>	4	10
<p>3. Degree that project complies with strategic and comprehensive facilities plans. <i>Comments:</i> The <i>Facility Master Plan</i> completed in April of 2022, identified this project as a High Impact and Medium Priority project to accomplish by the year 2030.</p>	6	10
<p>4. The immediacy of need for the project. <i>Comments:</i> This request should be funded in the next couple biennia.</p>	5	10
<p>5. The quality of the existing facility as measured by its physical condition and functionality. <i>Comments:</i> This is a demolition project. The building has been deemed in poor condition and no longer of use.</p>	10	10
<p>6. Degree that the project demonstrates it is not an unnecessary duplication of facilities. <i>Comments:</i> This request does not unnecessarily duplicate facilities.</p>	10	10

#10 (tie) CSC / Hildreth Hall Demolition

Prioritization Criteria Descriptions and Comments	Awarded Points	Maximum Points
7. The amount of space requested as compared with a program’s needs. <i>Comments:</i> This criterion is not applicable.	0	0
8. Types of space in the project compared to statewide role and mission priorities. <i>Comments:</i> This criterion is not applicable.	0	0
9. Degree that the institution maintains its existing tax-supported facilities. <i>Comments:</i> Facility maintenance expenditures on State-supported buildings at CSC averaged was greater than 1% of their current replacement value for the most recent biennium.	5	5
10. The potential long-term costs (or savings) associated with a project. <i>Comments:</i> This criterion is not applicable.	0	0
TOTAL POINTS	55	85
PERCENTAGE OF AWARDED POINTS/MAXIMUM POINTS	64.7%	

#13 WSC Memorial Stadium Upgrades



Date of Governing Board Approval: Not Applicable
Date of Commission Approval: Not Applicable
Phasing Considerations: No phasing considerations.

Prioritization Criteria Descriptions and Comments	Awarded Points	Maximum Points
<p>1. Ranking the project according to broad statewide facilities categories. <i>Comments:</i> Renovation requests are ranked 6th out of 10 statewide facilities categories used to evaluate overall capital construction needs.</p>	15	30
<p>2. Governing board priority or designated "sector initiative." <i>Comments:</i> This project was prioritized eighth by the Board of Trustees.</p>	3	10
<p>3. Degree that project complies with strategic and comprehensive facilities plans. <i>Comments:</i> The <i>Facility Master Plan</i> completed in April of 2022, identified this project as High Priority.</p>	6	10
<p>4. The immediacy of need for the project. <i>Comments:</i> This request should be funded in the next couple biennia to address the renovation needs.</p>	6	10
<p>5. The quality of the existing facility as measured by its physical condition and functionality. <i>Comments:</i> Both the track surface and the synthetic turf field are over a decade old and constant use during that time has taken its' toll. New field turf and track surface is required to ensure safety and optimal performance. In addition, the large parking lot (Lot 1) for Memorial Stadium is deteriorating badly with crumbling concrete. The parking lot requires reconstruction and the storm sewer handling water run-off from Memorial Stadium needs to be rebuilt.</p>	6	10

#13 WSC Memorial Stadium Upgrades

Prioritization Criteria Descriptions and Comments	Awarded Points	Maximum Points
6. Degree that the project demonstrates it is not an unnecessary duplication of facilities. <i>Comments:</i> This criterion is not applicable.	10	10
7. The amount of space requested as compared with a program's needs. <i>Comments:</i> This criterion is not applicable.	0	0
8. Types of space in the project compared to statewide role and mission priorities. <i>Comments:</i> This proposal affects undergraduate instructional, academic-support, and public service space.	5	5
9. Degree that the institution maintains its existing tax-supported facilities. <i>Comments:</i> Facility maintenance expenditures on State-supported buildings at WSC averaged was greater than 1% of their current replacement value for the most recent biennium.	5	5
10. The potential long-term costs (or savings) associated with a project. <i>Comments:</i> This project should not require additional State resources for new building operations and maintenance costs.	3	5
TOTAL POINTS	59.0	95
PERCENTAGE OF AWARDED POINTS/MAXIMUM POINTS	62.1%	

#14 PSC / Hoyt Science Windows/HVAC



Date of Governing Board Approval: Not Applicable
Date of Commission Approval: Not Applicable
Phasing Considerations: No phasing considerations.

Prioritization Criteria Descriptions and Comments	Awarded Points	Maximum Points
<p>1. Ranking the project according to broad statewide facilities categories. <i>Comments:</i> Renovation requests are ranked 6th out of 10 statewide facilities categories used to evaluate overall capital construction needs.</p>	15	30
<p>2. Governing board priority or designated "sector initiative." <i>Comments:</i> This project was prioritized eleventh by the Board of Trustees.</p>	0	10
<p>3. Degree that project complies with strategic and comprehensive facilities plans. <i>Comments:</i> The <i>Facility Master Plan</i> completed in April of 2022, identified this project.</p>	6	10
<p>4. The immediacy of need for the project. <i>Comments:</i> This request should be funded in the next couple biennia to address the renovation needs.</p>	8	10
<p>5. The quality of the existing facility as measured by its physical condition and functionality. <i>Comments:</i> The total estimated value of TF309 eligible work in the project exceeds 10% of replacement value.</p>	5.5	10
<p>6. Degree that the project demonstrates it is not an unnecessary duplication of facilities. <i>Comments:</i> This request does not unnecessarily duplicate facilities.</p>	10	10

#14 PSC / Hoyt Science Windows/HVAC

Prioritization Criteria Descriptions and Comments	Awarded Points	Maximum Points
<p>7. The amount of space requested as compared with a program’s needs. <i>Comments:</i> This criterion is not applicable.</p>	0	0
<p>8. Types of space in the project compared to statewide role and mission priorities. <i>Comments:</i> This proposal affects undergraduate instructional, academic-support, and public service space.</p>	5	5
<p>9. Degree that the institution maintains its existing tax-supported facilities. <i>Comments:</i> Facility maintenance expenditures on State-supported buildings at PSC were greater than 0.5%, but less than 0.6% of their current replacement value for the most recent biennium.</p>	.5	5
<p>10. The potential long-term costs (or savings) associated with a project. <i>Comments:</i> This project should not require additional State resources for new building operations and maintenance costs.</p>	3	5
<p>TOTAL POINTS</p>	53	90
<p>PERCENTAGE OF AWARDED POINTS/MAXIMUM POINTS</p>		58.9%

#15 PSC Oak Bowl Upgrades



Date of Governing Board Approval: Not Applicable
Date of Commission Approval: Not Applicable
Phasing Considerations: No phasing considerations.

Prioritization Criteria Descriptions and Comments	Awarded Points	Maximum Points
<p>1. Ranking the project according to broad statewide facilities categories. <i>Comments:</i> Renovation requests are ranked 6th out of 10 statewide facilities categories used to evaluate overall capital construction needs.</p>	15	30
<p>2. Governing board priority or designated "sector initiative." <i>Comments:</i> This project was prioritized ninth by the Board of Trustees.</p>	2	10
<p>3. Degree that project complies with strategic and comprehensive facilities plans. <i>Comments:</i> The <i>Facility Master Plan</i> completed in April of 2022, identified this project as a High Priority.</p>	6	10
<p>4. The immediacy of need for the project. <i>Comments:</i> This request should be funded in the next couple biennia to address the renovation needs.</p>	6	10
<p>5. The quality of the existing facility as measured by its physical condition and functionality. <i>Comments:</i> The synthetic turf field is over a decade old and constant use during that time makes replacement necessary. New field turf is required to ensure safety and optimal performance for students engaging in sports played on the field. In addition, various Stadium upgrades are needed. Repairs to stadium seating, displaced concrete repair and replacement, stabilization of concrete settlement, and drainage upgrades are some of the maintenance needs for this facility.</p>	5	10

#15 PSC Oak Bowl Upgrades

Prioritization Criteria Descriptions and Comments	Awarded Points	Maximum Points
6. Degree that the project demonstrates it is not an unnecessary duplication of facilities. <i>Comments:</i> This request does not unnecessarily duplicate facilities.	10	10
7. The amount of space requested as compared with a program's needs. <i>Comments:</i> This criterion is not applicable.	0	0
8. Types of space in the project compared to statewide role and mission priorities. <i>Comments:</i> This proposal affects undergraduate instructional, academic-support, and public service space.	5	5
9. Degree that the institution maintains its existing tax-supported facilities. <i>Comments:</i> Facility maintenance expenditures on State-supported buildings at PSC were greater than 0.5%, but less than 0.6% of their current replacement value for the most recent biennium.	0.5	5
10. The potential long-term costs (or savings) associated with a project. <i>Comments:</i> This project should not require additional State resources for new building operations and maintenance costs.	3	5
TOTAL POINTS	52.5	95
PERCENTAGE OF AWARDED POINTS/MAXIMUM POINTS	55.3%	